

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 SERIAL NUMBER
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/390,774
 02/17/95
 MORGAN
 M 30293.1US02

B3M1/0620

GEORGE H GATES
MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL
WELTER AND SCHMIDT
11150 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD SUITE 400
LOS ANGELES CA 90025-3395

PAN, D EXAMINER		
	·	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED:

06/20/96 **3**

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION		
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection		
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a), Mal . 49 (-	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed Jim 1996, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:		
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:		
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. 		
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).		
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:		
2. Newly proposed or amended claims 27, 36 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims, and if incorporate the objections.		
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will		
be as follows: remarks		
be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 28-35-37-44 Claims rejected: 28-35-37-44 The last office action 28-35-37-44		
Claims objected to: 26,27,36		
However; Tun 1996; Mar. 496		
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): TW 105 16 (WOTTER 26 N)/1226 UT		
Inaja ((4 +178,811), invitor of Guyon et al. in vial of Bonadio and the Newton		
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Bo Mild to MISO VECO 9 NO LEE THE VELOTIVE POSITION OF his SUPPRISSIONS WHICH		
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier		
presented		
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.		
Down - See attacked copy of date stamp received by the Group		
The second amendment was filed on mark 96, but it was recoiled		
The second amendment was filed on Mar. 496, but it was received processor (REV. 5-89) by the Group on Mar. 1496 which was the same day the Office Action was mailed	1	
PIOL-303 (REV. 5-89) 9) (TIC 410 1)		